

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/614,132	07/08/2003	Ananth G. Somayaji	T1-35575	6001
23494 7	590 09/13/2005		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			GARBOWSKI, LEIGH M	
P O BOX 6554 DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, IA	13203		2825	

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				H'			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan		10/614,132	SOMAYAJI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
_		Leigh Marie Garbowski	2825				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Anỳ	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims	•					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-8 and 11-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	☐ Claim(s) 1,4-6,9-11,14 and 15 is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	•						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (P10-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)				
rape _	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2825

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "trapezoid signal" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 4, 6, 9, 11, 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: as per claims 1, 6, 11, taking claim 1 as exemplary, "short" [line 7] should be changed to --shorter-- and --the-- should be inserted after "to" [line 7], or similar amendments should be made, to clarify the language; as per claims 4, 9, 14, taking claim 4 as exemplary, "T" [lines 6 and 8] is not defined in the claim, a notation that "T" represents periodicity [page 9, lines 8-10] should be added to the language. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/614,132

Art Unit: 2825

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagaraj et al. ["A Practical Approach to Static Signal Electromigration Analysis"].

As per claims 1-3/6-8/11-13, a method/medium/apparatus comprising: modeling said driver cell in the form of a trapezoid signal and said signal lead in the form of an impedance network, wherein one parallel end of said trapezoid signal is substantially shorter compared to the other parallel end of said trapezoid signal, wherein said one parallel end contains a single point such that said trapezoid signal comprises a triangle signal; wherein said modeling comprises: receiving a first plurality of parameters characterizing operation of said driver cell; and computing a second plurality of parameters characterizing said triangle signal based on said first plurality of parameters [section 3, 4, 6.2, 7; it is noted that trapezoid and triangle waveforms are available in SPICE]; simulating an operation of said IC by providing said trapezoid signal as an input to said impedance network [section 3.1, 3.4, 4]; and measuring electrical signals on said impedance network to estimate said plurality of current density parameters on said signal lead [Abstract, 4].

Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gentry et al. [U.S. Patent #6,857,113 B2].

As per claims 1-3/6-8/11-13, a method/medium/apparatus comprising: modeling said driver cell in the form of a trapezoid signal and said signal lead in the form of an impedance network, wherein one parallel end of said trapezoid signal is substantially shorter compared to the other parallel end of said trapezoid signal, wherein said one parallel end contains a single point such that said trapezoid signal comprises a triangle signal; wherein said modeling comprises: receiving a first plurality of parameters

Art Unit: 2825

characterizing operation of said driver cell; and computing a second plurality of parameters characterizing said triangle signal based on said first plurality of parameters [column 3, lines 22-23, 30-33; column 8, line 40-column 10, line 58]; simulating an operation of said IC by providing said trapezoid signal as an input to said impedance network [column 3, line 51-column 4, line 63; column 5, lines 60-63; column 8, line 40-column 10, line 58]; and measuring electrical signals on said impedance network to estimate said plurality of current density parameters on said signal lead [column 3, lines 43-50; column 8, lines 40-column 10, line 58].

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sasaki et al. [U.S. Patent #6,598,208 B2] disclose prior art that uses a trapezoidal signal to analyze current density [figure 2]. Donohoe et al. ["Simple Edge Basis Functions for Open-Ended Bodies of Revolution"] disclose a modified triangle function used in the method of moments solution for surface currents.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-5, 9-10, 14-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: although the prior art of record discloses a triangle signal contains a positive peak and a negative peak, and the plurality of parameters recited, the prior art of record does not disclose or teach the parameters computed according to the equations, as recited in combination with all of the features of the claims recited in total.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Marie Garbowski whose telephone number is 571-272-1893 and e-mail is Leigh.Garbowski@uspto.gov.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,132 Page 5

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LEIGH M. GARBOWSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER